

#### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/010,203	11/30/2001	Goldino Sousa Alves	01-603	6290
7:	590 02/18/2003			
Barry L. Kelmachter			EXAMINER	
BACHMAN & Suite 1201	LaPOINTE, P.C.		KING, BRADLEY T	
900 Chapel Street New Haven, CT 06510-2802				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3683	
		DATE MAILED: 02/18/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/010,203	ALVES, GOLDING	ALVES, GOLDINO SOUSA				
. Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
`•	Bradley T King	3683					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			dress				
Period for Reply	V 10 0ET TO EVOIDE *	MONTHO FROM	1				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may y within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo. cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  nirty (30) days will be considered timely  DNTHS from the mailing date of this oc  ARANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)	y. ommunication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 J	lanuary 2003 .						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	ance except for formal m Ex parte Quayle, 1935 (	atters, prosecution as to th C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	e merits is				
4) Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3-9</u> is/are withdrawn							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	nom consideration.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,10 and 11</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers	<b>1</b>						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examine	er.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents			•				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents							
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
<ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting</li> </ul>	visional application has	been received.	,				
Attachment(s)	-						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Description Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No( of Informal Patent Application (PTC)	(s) O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/010,203

Art Unit: 3683

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Claims 3-9 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 3.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Claim 2 requires an isolator fixed between a cab and a slide guide rail. The disclosure defines a guide rail and a slide guide, but the meaning of "slide guide rail" is unclear. The specification also describes the guide rails extending the length of the elevator shaft and the slide guides riding on them. The specification further discloses and illustrates the guide rails being attached to the elevator cab. It is unclear which elements are attached to the cab and which elements are attached to the elevator shaft.

Application/Control Number: 10/010,203

Art Unit: 3683

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The term "dense material" in claim 11 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "dense material" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. Claim 11 requires a material selected from a group consisting of a metallic material and a dense material. The scope of this limitation is unclear.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Suchodolski et al (US#5325937).

Suchodolksi et al disclose all the limitations of the instant claims including: an elevator component, a second component, at least one vibration isolator 18 being positioned between the elevator component and the second component, and each

Application/Control Number: 10/010,203

Art Unit: 3683

vibration isolator having a plurality of layers with at least one layer 46 being a hard layer and at least one layer 48 being a soft layer.

Regarding claim 10, Suchodolski et al disclose a plurality of hard layers and a plurality of soft layers.

Regarding claim 11, see column 2, lines 40-45.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mason.

Mason discloses all the limitations of the instant claims including: an elevator component, a second component, at least one vibration isolator being positioned between the elevator component and the second component, and each vibration isolator having a plurality of layers with at least one layer 13 or 17 being a hard layer and at least one layer 19 being a soft layer.

Regarding claim 2 (as best understood), Mason discloses an elevator component 10 and a guide rail 17.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mason in view of Irwin (US#3504905).

Page 5

plurality of both hard and soft layers. It is well known in the art to laminate layers of

Mason discloses all the limitations of the instant claims with exception to a

elastomer and metal to increase the load capacity of elastomeric materials. Irwin

teaches a laminated bearing with a plurality of hard layers and a plurality of soft layers

that provide an increased compressive load capability. It would have been obvious to

one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize a laminated

elastomer such as taught by Irwin in the isolator of Mason to increase the load capacity

of the mount, thereby increasing the capabilities of the device.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Ferrisi et al, Ho, De Jong et al, McCormick, Henry, and JP 2-

95693.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Bradley T King whose telephone number is (703) 308-

8346. The examiner can normally be reached on 11:00-7:30 M-F.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

1113.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

BTK February 9, 2003